

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	iO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,841		01/14/2004	Paulus Antonius Andreas Teunissen	081468-0307559	1509
909	7590	10/02/2006		EXAMINER	
		THROP SHAW P	KIM, PETER B		
P.O. BOX	K 10500 N, VA 221	.02		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				2851	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/756,841	TEUNISSEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Peter B. Kim	2851					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 J</u>	ulv 2006						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 44-53</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-43</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 44-53</u> is/are rejected.	· · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 May 2006</u> is/are: a)		by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
· ·	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•						
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	and the second of the second o					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's arguments filed on July 17, 2006 have been fully considered. In response to the arguments, the finality of the previous office action is withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-14, and 48-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 48, it is claimed that a magnitude of an apparent depression of the wafer surface is due to translation of the beam. Para 00054 discloses that the apparent surface depression is caused by the Goos-Haenchen shift, which is a lateral translation of light along a reflecting surface. Para 00060 also discloses that the depression arise because of the beam of light translated along the surface. However, in para 00059, it is disclosed that the light is displaced because of the depression. It is not clear whether the depression is caused by the translation or whether the translation is caused by the depression.

Regarding claims 1, 7 and 8, it is not clear how the terms "layer" and "surface" are defined. Based on the amendment to para 00079, it seems that a coating is considered a "surface" since Fig. 10 and corresponding amendment to para 00079 seem to have been added in response to the objection to the drawings indicating that the claimed features of claims 7 and 8 are not shown in the drawings. Then in a response to the 35 USC 112 rejection, applicant cited the language in para 00074 which seems to indicate that a coating is considered a "layer." If the

two terms have different meaning, applicant is requested to define the terms to distinguish the two terms, or if the terms are interchangeable, applicant is requested to be consistent in the usage of the terms for clarity.

The remaining claims, not specifically mentioned, are rejected for incorporating the defects from the base claim by dependency.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-14 and 48-52 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 44-47 and 53 are allowed.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter B. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2120. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diane Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,841 Page 4

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter B. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851

September 26, 2006